1	MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney NICOLE MOODY Special Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Segrements, CA 05814	
2		
3		
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:24-CR-00237-WBS
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
13	v. FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	JAVIER AGUILERA ROSAS,	DATE: April 28, 2025 TIME: 10 a.m.
15	Defendant.	COURT: Hon. William B. Shubb
16		-
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on April 28, 2025.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to set a Jury Trial date for October 21, 2025 a	
22	9:00 a.m., to set a Trial Confirmation Hearing date for August 25, 2025 at 10:00 a.m. , and to exclude	
23	time between April 28, 2025, and October 21, 2025, under Local Code T4. The parties anticipate the	
24	trial will take five court days.	
25	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:
26	a) Defense counsel has a state trial that will be set for mid-2025.	
27	b) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case	
28	includes 1,000s of pages of documents. All this discovery has been either produced directly to	

2

4

8

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.

- c) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with his client, review the current charges, conduct investigation and research related to the charges, review discovery for this matter, discuss potential resolutions with his client, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- d) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of April 28, 2025 to October 21, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.
 - The April 28, 2025 Status Conference is vacated.
 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: April 24, 2025

MICHELE BECKWITH
Acting United States Attorney

/s/ NICOLE MOODY
NICOLE MOODY
Special Assistant United States
Attorney

Case 2:24-cr-00237-WBS Document 37 Filed 04/25/25 Page 3 of 3

Dated: April 24, 2025 /s/ MICHAEL HEUMANN MICHAEL HEUMANN Counsel for Defendant Javier Aguilera Rosas **ORDER** IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED. Dated: April 24, 2025 WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE